arXiv:1402.0896v1 [math.RA] 4 Feb 2014

February 4, 2014

CYCLICITY IN PRIME DEGREE OVER A p-ADIC CURVE

ERIC BRUSSEL

Abstract. We reprove two results of Saltman, [14, Theorem 5.1, Corollary 5.2]: If F is the function field of a smooth p-adic curve and D is an F-division algebra of prime degree $\ell \neq p$ then D is $\mathbb{Z}/\ell$-cyclic, and that if D is an F-division algebra of prime period $\ell \neq p$ then D has index ℓ if and only if its ramification locus on a suitable 2-dimensional model for F has no "hot points".

Introduction

One of the most important open problems in the area of finite-dimensional division algebras is to determine if every division algebra of prime degree over a field is cyclic (see [1, Section 1]). Attempts to solve it often involve analyses over fields F for which there is a reasonable theory of arithmetic. In the seminal result [14, Theorem 5.1] Saltman solved the problem for F the function field of a smooth p-adic curve (e.g., $F = \mathbb{Q}_p(t)$), proving that all F-division algebras of prime degree $\ell \neq p$ are $\mathbb{Z}/\ell$-cyclic. We reprove this result as a corollary of a slight generalization, which is that if F is the function field of a smooth curve over a complete discretely valued field $K = (K, v)$, $\mathrm{char}(\kappa(v)) = p \geq 0$, and D is an F-division algebra of prime degree $\ell \neq p$, then there exists a $\mathbb{Z}/\ell$-cyclic field extension L/F such that α_L is unramified. In [16, Theorem 7.13] Saltman proved this generalization for an arbitrary regular surface under the assumption that F contain the ℓ-th roots of unity. We also reprove [14, Corollary 5.2], which states that if F is the function field of a p-adic curve and D is an F-division algebra of prime period $\ell \neq p$ then D has index ℓ if and only if its ramification locus on a suitable 2-dimensional model for F has no "hot points".

We use the machinery and methods of [4], which we view as a kind of extension of Grothendieck's proper base change theorem in the following sense. Let F be the function field of a smooth curve over a complete discretely valued field $K = (K, v)$. Then F is the function field of a regular relative curve X/O_v, and the reduced scheme C underlying the closed fiber $X \otimes_K \kappa(v)$ is a projective curve over $\kappa(v)$. Let n be a number prime to $\mathrm{char}(\kappa(v))$, and let $\mathrm{H}^q(-)$ denote an étale cohomology group with n-torsion coefficients. By Grothendieck's theorem we have isomorphisms $\mathrm{H}^q(X) \simeq \mathrm{H}^q(C)$ in all degrees q, whereby elements of $\mathrm{H}^q(C)$ "lift" to $\mathrm{H}^q(X)$. In [4] we showed how to extend this lifting to a subgroup of $\mathrm{H}^q(\kappa(C))$, resulting in constructions of elements of $\mathrm{H}^q(F)$ with controlled ramification behavior. By manipulating the model X (using blow ups) we can smooth out the ramification divisor of a given element of $\mathrm{H}^q(\kappa(C))$, to a point where it is within reach of our lift. In the current paper we show that if $\alpha \in \mathrm{H}^2(F, \mu_\ell)$ is of prime degree $\ell \neq p$ then there exists a model X over which α's ramification divisor is subject to splitting by

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16K50, 14E22, 11R58, 11G20.

a $\mathbb{Z}/\ell$-cyclic extension of F that is lifted from a cyclic extension of $\kappa(C)$ constructed using Saltman's generalized Grunwald-Wang theorem [15, 5.10].

Saltman took a more overtly valuation-theoretic approach in [14], manipulating the model X until he could define an element $f \in F$ with divisor $\operatorname{div}(f)$ approximating the division algebra's ramification divisor, and then descending the cyclic extension $F(\mu_\ell)(f^{1/\ell})$ to F. Instead of trying to copy the ramification divisor, our strategy is essentially to manipulate X so that we can glue together the residues, which are (tamely ramified) cyclic covers of the ramification divisor's prime factors.

1. Notation and Background

1.1. General Conventions. Let S be an excellent scheme, n a number that is invertible on S, and $\Lambda = (\mathbb{Z}/n)(i)$ the étale sheaf $\mathbb{Z}/n$ twisted by an integer i. We write $\mathrm{H}^q(S,\Lambda)$ for the étale cohomology group, and if Λ is arbitrary and fixed (or doesn't matter) we write $\mathrm{H}^q(S)$ instead of $\mathrm{H}^q(S,\Lambda)$, and $\mathrm{H}^q(S,r)$ in place of $\mathrm{H}^q(S,\Lambda(r))$. If $S = \operatorname{Spec} A$ for a ring A, then we write $\mathrm{H}^q(A)$ and $\mathrm{H}^q(A,r)$. If v is a valuation on a field F, we write $\kappa(v)$ for the residue field of the valuation ring O_v, and F_v for the completion of F at v. If v arises from a prime divisor D on S, we write $v = v_D$, $\kappa(D)$, and F_D. If T is an integral closed subscheme of S we write $\kappa(T)$ for its function field. If $T \to S$ is a morphism of schemes, then the restriction $\mathrm{res}_{S|T} : \mathrm{H}^q(S) \to \mathrm{H}^q(T)$ is defined, and we write $\beta|_T$ or β_T for $\mathrm{res}_{S|T}(\beta)$.

1.2. Basic Setup. Let R be a complete discrete valuation ring with fraction field K and residue field k, n a number invertible in R, F the function field of a smooth projective curve over K, and X/R a regular (projective, flat) relative curve with function field F. Thus X is 2-dimensional, all of its closed points z have codimension 2 ([10, 8.3.4]), and the corresponding local rings $\mathrm{O}_{X,z}$ are factorial. The closed fiber $X_0 = X \otimes_R k$ is a connected projective curve over k; write $C = X_{0,\mathrm{red}}$ for its reduced subscheme, $C_1, \dots, C_m$ for the irreducible components of C, and $\kappa(C) = \prod_i \kappa(C_i)$. We assume throughout that each irreducible component C_i of C is regular, and that all singular points of C have multiplicity two, a situation that can always be achieved by blowing up. We let $\mathcal{S}$ denote the set of singular points of C. If z is a closed point of X then z lies on C, and if $z \in C_i$ we write $K_{i,z} = \operatorname{Frac}(\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{h}}_{C_i,z})$, where the superscript "h" denotes *henselization*. If $z \in \mathcal{S}$ is on $C_i \cap C_j$ we let $K_z = \operatorname{Frac}(\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{h}}_{C,z}) = K_{i,z} \times K_{j,z}$.

Since exactly two irreducible components of C meet at any $z \in \mathcal{S}$ the *dual graph* G_C is defined, and consists of a vertex for each irreducible component of C and an edge for each singular point, such that an edge and a vertex are incident when the corresponding singular point lies on the corresponding irreducible component ([11, 2.23], see also [10, 10.1.48]). The (first) *Betti number* $\mathrm{b}_1(C) = \mathrm{rk}(\mathrm{H}_1(\mathrm{G}_C,\mathbb{Z}))$ is the sum $N+E-V$, where V, E and N are the numbers of vertices, edges, and connected components of G_C. Since C is connected we have $N = 1$, and $\mathrm{b}_1(C) = 1 - m + s$, where $s = \mathrm{Card}(\mathcal{S})$, and this number computes the number of chordless cycles of G_C. In particular it is zero if G_C is a tree.

We call an effective (Cartier) divisor $D \in \operatorname{Div} X$ *regular* if its underlying closed subscheme $\operatorname{Supp}(D)$ is regular, and *horizontal* if $\operatorname{Supp}(D)$ is finite over $\operatorname{Spec} R$. Assume C is as above, with regular irreducible components and singular points of multiplicity two. By [4, 1.12] it is possible to choose for each closed point $z \in C_{(0)}$

a *distinguished prime divisor* D_z on X, which is a regular horizontal prime divisor that passes through z and is transverse to each irreducible component of C passing through z. Let $\mathscr{D}$ denote a set of distinguished prime divisors, one for each $z \in C_{(0)}$. Let $\mathscr{D}_* \subset \mathscr{D}$ denote those distinguished prime divisors D_z with $z \in \mathcal{S}$, and let $\mathscr{D}_{\mathcal{S}} = \mathscr{D} - \mathscr{D}_*$. We will sometimes say a divisor is "in $\mathscr{D}$" if it is composed of distinguished prime divisors. Finally, if $D \in \operatorname{Div} X$ is any divisor we write $\mathscr{D}(D)$ or $\mathscr{D}_{\mathcal{S}}(D)$ for the support of D that is in $\mathscr{D}$ or $\mathscr{D}_{\mathcal{S}}$.

1.3. Residues and Ramification. All valuations will be discrete of rank one. If $F = (F, v)$ is a discretely valued field, F_v is the completion of F at v, n is prime to $\operatorname{char}(\kappa(v))$, and $\Lambda = (\mathbb{Z}/n)(i)$ for some i, then the *residue map* ∂_v is defined by the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} & & & & \mathrm{H}^q(F) & & & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & \searrow^{\partial_v} & & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^q(\kappa(v)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^q(F_v) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^{q-1}(\kappa(v), -1) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

We call the bottom row a *Witt exact sequence*. The bottom surjection is split (non canonically) by the map $\omega \mapsto (\pi_v) \cdot \omega$, where $(\pi_v) \in \mathrm{H}^1(F_v, \mu_n)$ is the Kummer element determined by a choice of uniformizer π_v for F_v. We call the resulting direct sum decomposition $\mathrm{H}^q(F_v) \simeq \mathrm{H}^q(\kappa(v)) \oplus \mathrm{H}^{q-1}(\kappa(v), -1)$ a *Witt decomposition*. We say $\alpha \in \mathrm{H}^q(F)$ is *unramified at* v if $\partial_v(\alpha) = 0$, and *ramified at* v if $\partial_v(\alpha) \neq 0$. If α is unramified at v then α comes from the subgroup $\mathrm{H}^q(\mathrm{O}_v) \leq \mathrm{H}^q(F)$ (see [7, Section 3]), and we say α is *defined* at $\kappa(v)$. If α is defined at $\kappa(v)$ then it has a *value*

$$\alpha(v) = \operatorname{res}_{\mathrm{O}_v | \kappa(v)}(\alpha) \ \in \mathrm{H}^q(\kappa(v))$$

Since the ring homomorphism $\mathrm{O}_v \to \kappa(v)$ factors through the complete discrete valuation ring $\mathrm{O}_{F_v} \subset F_v$ we have the alternative description $\alpha(v) = \operatorname{res}_{F|F_v}(\alpha)$, using the Witt sequence to identify $\mathrm{H}^q(\kappa(v))$ with the subgroup $\mathrm{H}^q(\mathrm{O}_{F_v}) \leq \mathrm{H}^q(F_v)$. Note $\alpha_{F_v} = 0$ if and only if $\partial_v(\alpha) = 0$ and $\alpha(v) = 0$ by the Witt sequence. If v arises from a prime divisor D on an integral scheme with function field F we generally substitute D for v, and write ∂_D and $\alpha(D)$ in place of ∂_v and $\alpha(v)$.

Each $f \in F^*$ defines a Kummer element $(f) \in \mathrm{H}^1(F, \mu_n)$, and $\partial_v(f) = v(f) \pmod n \in \mathrm{H}^0(\kappa(v), \mathbb{Z}/n)$. If $\chi \in \mathrm{H}^1(F, \mathbb{Z}/n)$ we write $(f) \cdot \chi \in \mathrm{H}^2(F, \mu_n)$ for the cup product. Then

$$\partial_v((f) \cdot \chi) = [v(f) \cdot \chi - (f) \cdot \partial_v(\chi) + (-1) \cdot v(f) \cdot \partial_v(\chi)]_{F_v} \tag{1.4}$$

See [8, II.7.12, p.18] for the general cup product formula.

In the situation of (1.2) any prime divisor $D \in \operatorname{Div} X$ determines a valuation v_D on F. Thus for each $\alpha \in \mathrm{H}^q(F)$ we have a *ramification divisor*

$$D_\alpha = \sum_{\substack{D \in \operatorname{Div} X \\ \partial_D(\alpha) \neq 0}} D \ \in \operatorname{Div} X$$

For a fixed α we may always blow up X until the horizontal prime factors of D_α are all regular, avoid $\mathcal{S}$, and intersect each irreducible component of C transversely.

In the situation of (1.2) Kato defines a complex

$$\mathrm{H}^2(F,\mu_n)\xrightarrow{\partial_2}\bigoplus\nolimits_{D\in\operatorname{Div}X}\mathrm{H}^1(\kappa(D),\mathbb{Z}/n)\xrightarrow{\partial_1}\bigoplus\nolimits_{z\in C_{(0)}}\mathrm{H}^0(\kappa(z),\mu_n^*) \tag{1.5}$$

where $\mu_n^*=(\mathbb{Z}/n)(-1)$, $\partial_2=\sum_D\partial_D$, and $\partial_1=\sum_z(\bigoplus_D\partial_{D,z})$ is composed of residue maps $\partial_{D,z}:\mathrm{H}^1(\kappa(D),\mathbb{Z}/n)\to\mathrm{H}^0(\kappa(z),\mu_n^*)$ defined in [9] to include the possibility that z is a singular point of D. In particular if $\alpha\in\mathrm{H}^2(F)$ and exactly two components $D_1,D_2\subset D_\alpha$ run through a point z, then

$$\partial_z(\partial_{D_1}(\alpha))+\partial_z(\partial_{D_2}(\alpha))=0 \tag{1.6}$$

1.7. Hot and Cold Points. Assume the situation of (1.2). Suppose $n=\ell\neq p$ is a prime, $\alpha\in\mathrm{H}^2(F,\mu_n)$, and D_α has regular irreducible components, at most two of which meet at any given point. Following Saltman in [14] we will say a singular point $z\in D_\alpha$ at the intersection of $D_1,D_2\subset D_\alpha$ is a *hot point* if each $\theta_{D_i}=\partial_{D_i}(\alpha)$ is z-unramified and $\langle\theta_{D_1}(z)\rangle\neq\langle\theta_{D_2}(z)\rangle$, and a *cold point* if each θ_{D_i} is z-ramified. Then $\partial_z(\theta_{D_1})+\partial_z(\theta_{D_2})=0$ by (1.6).

1.8. Splitting Ramification. Each $\psi\in\mathrm{H}^1(F,\mathbb{Z}/n)$ determines a cyclic field extension, which we denote by $F(\psi)/F$. In the situation of (1.2) the normalization of X in $L=F(\psi)$ is a regular relative curve Y/R, and $Y\to X$ is flat, by [5, Section 3]. Thus if $D\in\operatorname{Div}X$ is a prime divisor then there are g prime divisors $E_i\in\operatorname{Div}Y$ lying over D, each defining an extension w_i of $v=v_D$ with ramification index $e=e(w_i/v)=|\partial_D(\psi)|$, and residue field $\kappa(E_i)=\kappa(D)((e\cdot\psi)(D))$ of degree f over $\kappa(D)$, such that $|\psi|=[F(\psi):F]=efg$. We then have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\mathrm{H}^2(F(\psi),\mu_n) & \xrightarrow{\partial_{E_i}} & \mathrm{H}^1(\kappa(E_i),\mathbb{Z}/n)\\ \Big\uparrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{res}} & & \Big\uparrow{\scriptstyle e\cdot\mathrm{res}}\\ \mathrm{H}^2(F,\mu_n) & \xrightarrow[\partial_D]{} & \mathrm{H}^1(\kappa(D),\mathbb{Z}/n)\end{array}$$

Conversely if v_E is a valuation on L determined by a divisor $E\subset Y$ then since $Y\to X$ is flat, E lies over a divisor $D\subset X$, and v_E extends v_D.

Continuing in the situation of (1.2), by definition an element $\beta\in\mathrm{H}^2(F(\psi),\mu_n)$ is unramified if $\partial_w(\beta)=0$ for all discrete valuations w on $F(\psi)$. By purity for (regular) surfaces it is enough to show $\partial_E(\beta)=0$ for each prime divisor $E\subset Y$, and then it is in the image of the map $\mathrm{H}^2(Y,\mu_n)\to\mathrm{H}^2(F(\psi),\mu_n)$ (see [3, (4.2)]). If $R=\mathbb{Z}_p$ then $\mathrm{H}^2(Y,\mu_n)=0$, hence in this case if β is unramified then it is zero (see [3, Theorem 4.5]).

1.9. Results from [4]. Assume the situation of (1.2). Let $V=X-\mathscr{D}$. This is not a scheme, but we use the notation heuristically and set $\mathrm{H}^q(V):=\varinjlim\mathrm{H}^q(U)$, where the limit is over open subschemes $U\subset X$ such that $X-U$ is supported in $\mathscr{D}$. Since V contains the generic points of C the restriction map $\mathrm{H}^q(V)\longrightarrow\mathrm{H}^q(\kappa(C))$

is defined, and as in [4, (2.2)], we have a commutative ladder

(1.10)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
\cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^q(X) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^q(V) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \bigoplus_{\mathscr{D}} \mathrm{H}^{q-1}(D,-1) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^{q+1}(X) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow\wr & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow\wr & & \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^q(C) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^q(\kappa(C)) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \bigoplus_{C_{(0)}} \mathrm{H}^{q+1}_z(C) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^{q+1}(C) & \longrightarrow & \cdots
\end{array}$$

Let

$$\Gamma^q_{\mathscr{D}_*}(\kappa(C)) = \mathrm{im}(\mathrm{H}^q(V) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^q(\kappa(C)))$$

Then by [4, Lemma 2.12] $\Gamma^q_{\mathscr{D}_*}(\kappa(C))$ consists of tuples $\theta_C = (\theta_{C_i})$ where the $\theta_{C_i} \in \mathrm{H}^q(\kappa(C_i))$ *glue across* $\mathcal{S}$ *along* $\mathscr{D}_*$, that is, whenever $z \in \mathcal{S}$ is at the intersection of C_i and C_j, and π_j and π_i are the images in $\kappa(C_i)$ and $\kappa(C_j)$ of a local equation $\pi_{D_z} \in \mathrm{O}_{X,z}$ for $D_z \in \mathscr{D}_*$, then the Witt decompositions of θ_{C_i} and θ_{C_j} are

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{C_i,z} &= \theta^\circ_z + (\pi_j)\cdot\omega \ \in \mathrm{H}^q(K_{i,z}) \\ \theta_{C_j,z} &= \theta^\circ_z + (\pi_i)\cdot\omega \ \in \mathrm{H}^q(K_{j,z}) \end{aligned} \tag{1.11}$$

for some $\theta^\circ_z \in \mathrm{H}^q(\kappa(z))$ and $\omega \in \mathrm{H}^{q-1}(\kappa(z),-1)$.

Let $\mathrm{H}^q_{\mathrm{cs}}(V) = \ker(\mathrm{H}^q(V) \to \mathrm{H}^q(\kappa(C)))$, let $\mathrm{H}^q_{\mathrm{cs}}(F)$ be its image in $\mathrm{H}^q(F)$, and set $\mathrm{H}^q_{\mathrm{ns}}(F) = \mathrm{H}^q(F)/\mathrm{H}^q_{\mathrm{cs}}(F)$ (see [4, Definition 2.11]). The elements of $\mathrm{H}^q_{\mathrm{cs}}(F)$ are "completely split" in the sense that their images in $\mathrm{H}^q(F_D)$ are zero for any prime divisor D on X ([4, Lemma 2.12]), and consequently the restriction maps $\mathrm{H}^q(F) \to \mathrm{H}^q(F_D)$, hence the residue and value maps, factor through $\mathrm{H}^q_{\mathrm{ns}}(F)$.

We require the following fundamental result for producing elements of $\mathrm{H}^q(F)$ with controlled ramification. Though our main application is the construction of elements of $\mathrm{H}^1(F,\mathbb{Z}/n)$, we state the theorem in its entirety.

Theorem 1.12. [4, Theorem 2.15]. *Assume* (1.2). *Then for all* $q \geq 0$ *there is a homomorphism*

$$\lambda = \lambda_{\mathscr{D}} : \Gamma^q_{\mathscr{D}_*}(\kappa(C)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^q_{\mathrm{ns}}(F)$$

that fits into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{H}^q_{\mathrm{ns}}(F) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \bigoplus_{\mathscr{D}} \mathrm{H}^{q-1}(\kappa(D),-1) \\
\uparrow{\scriptstyle\lambda} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle\inf} \\
\Gamma^q_{\mathscr{D}_*}(\kappa(C)) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \bigoplus_{C_{(0)}} \mathrm{H}^{q-1}(\kappa(z),-1)
\end{array}$$

where δ *is induced from* (1.10) *and* $\partial = \bigoplus_{\mathscr{D}} \partial_D$. *Let* $\theta = \lambda(\theta_C)$, *where* $\theta_C = (\theta_{C_i}) \in \Gamma^q_{\mathscr{D}_*}(\kappa(C))$ *(so each* θ_{C_i} *is in* $\mathrm{H}^q(\kappa(C_i))$*). Then:*

a) θ *is defined on the generic points of* C, *and* $\theta(C_i) = \theta|_{\kappa(C_i)} = \theta_{C_i}$.
b) *The ramification locus of* θ *is contained in* $\mathscr{D}$.
c) *Suppose* $D \in \mathscr{D}$ *intersects* C *at* $z \in C_i$, $\pi_D \in \mathrm{O}_{X,z}$ *is a local equation for* D, $\bar\pi_D \in K_{i,z}$ *is the image of* π_D, *and* $\theta_{C,z} = \theta^\circ + (\bar\pi_D)\cdot\omega$ *is the corresponding Witt decomposition in* $\mathrm{H}^q(K_{i,z})$ *Then over* F_D *we have the Witt decomposition*

$$\mathrm{res}_{F|F_D}(\theta) = \inf{}_{\kappa(z)|\kappa(D)}(\theta^\circ) + (\pi_D)\cdot \inf{}_{\kappa(z)|\kappa(D)}(\omega)$$

d) *If θ_C is unramified at a point $z \in C$, then θ is unramified at any horizontal prime divisor D lying over z, and $\theta(D) = \inf_{\kappa(z)|\kappa(D)}(\theta_C(z))$.*

Remark 1.13. We will call any element $\theta \in \mathrm{H}^q(F)$ mapping to $\lambda(\theta_C) \in \mathrm{H}^q_{\mathrm{ns}}(F)$ a *λ-lift of $\theta_C \in \Gamma^q_{\mathscr{D}_*}(\kappa(C))$*.

2. Lemmas

We begin with some preliminary results. We will say that k has no *special case* if $k(\mu_{2^{v_2(n)}})/k$ is cyclic. This holds in particular if n is odd or prime, or if $\mathrm{char}(k) > 0$. When k has no special case Saltman's generalized Grunwald-Wang theorem [15, Theorem 5.10] produces for any $\kappa(C_i)$ and a finite set of local characters $\{\theta_{i,z} \in \mathrm{H}^1(K_{i,z}, \mathbb{Z}/n) : z \in C_i\}$ a global character $\theta_i \in \mathrm{H}^1(\kappa(C_i), \mathbb{Z}/n)$ of order $|\theta_i| = \mathrm{lcm}_z\{|\theta_{i,z}|\}$ such that $\mathrm{res}_{\kappa(C_i)|K_{i,z}}(\theta_i) = \theta_{i,z}$. We will call θ_i a (generalized) Grunwald-Wang lift of the $\theta_{i,z}$.

Lemma 2.1. *Assume the setup of* (1.2) *such that k has no special case. Suppose $\alpha \in \mathrm{H}^2(F, \mu_n)$ ramifies at (only) two prime divisors D_1 and D_2 meeting transversely at a closed point $z \in X$. Let $\pi_{D_1}, \pi_{D_2} \in \mathrm{O}_{X,z}$ be local equations for D_1 and D_2 at z, and suppose either* (a) *both D_1 and D_2 are vertical, or* (b) *each $\partial_{D_i}(\alpha)$ is z-unramified. Then*

$$\alpha = \alpha^\circ + (\pi_{D_1}) \cdot \theta_1 + (\pi_{D_2}) \cdot \theta_2$$

for some $\alpha^\circ \in \mathrm{H}^2(\mathrm{O}_{X,z}, \mu_n)$ and λ-lifts $\theta_i \in \mathrm{H}^1(F, \mathbb{Z}/n)$ with $D_{\theta_i} \subset \mathscr{D}$, and in case (b) *$\theta_i \in \mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{O}_{X,z}, \mathbb{Z}/n)$.*

Proof. We prove (a) first, setting $D_i = C_i$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Set $\theta_{C_i} = \partial_{C_i}(\alpha) \in \mathrm{H}^1(\kappa(C_i), \mathbb{Z}/n)$. Note that $\partial_z(\theta_{C_1}) + \partial_z(\theta_{C_2}) = 0$ by (1.6), and since C_1 and C_2 meet transversely at z the image of π_{C_i} in $\kappa(C_j)$ is a uniformizer for the valuation v_z on $\kappa(C_j)$. Let $D = \mathrm{div}(\pi_{C_1} - \pi_{C_2}) \in \mathscr{D}_*$ be the distinguished divisor passing through z. By the generalized Grunwald-Wang theorem of Saltman ([15, Theorem 5.10]) there exists an element $\theta_{i,C} \in \Gamma^1_{\mathscr{D}_*}(\kappa(C))$ whose C_i-th component is θ_{C_i}. Let $\theta_i \in \mathrm{H}^1(F, \mathbb{Z}/n)$ be any lift of $\lambda(\theta_{i,C}) \in \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{ns}}(F, \mathbb{Z}/n)$ as in Theorem 1.12, and set

$$\beta = (\pi_{C_1}) \cdot \theta_1 + (\pi_{C_2}) \cdot \theta_2$$

Since the θ_i ramify only on $\mathscr{D}$ by Theorem 1.12(b), β is unramified at all divisors passing through z different from C_1, C_2, and D. Since θ_i is unramified at C_i we compute $\partial_{C_i}(\beta) = \theta_i|_{\kappa(C_i)}$ using (1.4), and this is $\theta_{C_i} \in \mathrm{H}^1(\kappa(C_i), \mathbb{Z}/n)$ by Theorem 1.12(a). Next, by (1.4) we compute

$$\partial_D(\beta) = -(\bar{\pi}_{C_1}) \cdot \partial_D(\theta_1) - (\bar{\pi}_{C_2}) \cdot \partial_D(\theta_2)$$

where $\bar{\pi}_{C_i}$ is the image of π_{C_i} in $\kappa(D)$. We have $(\bar{\pi}_{C_1}) = (\bar{\pi}_{C_2})$ by our choice of D, and since $\partial_D(\theta_i) = \partial_z(\theta_{C_i})$ by Theorem 1.12(c), $\partial_D(\theta_1) + \partial_D(\theta_2) = 0$, hence $\partial_D(\beta) = 0$. We conclude α and β have the same residues at divisors passing through z, hence $\alpha^\circ \stackrel{\mathrm{df}}{=} \alpha - \beta \in \mathrm{H}^2(\mathrm{O}_{X,z}, \mu_n)$ by injectivity and purity for surfaces ([2, Theorem 7.2, Proposition 7.4]), as desired.

To prove (b) suppose first that $D_1 = C_1$ and $D_2 = C_2$ are both vertical. Then (a) applies, and since each θ_{C_i} is z-unramified, each θ_i is unramified at each divisor passing through z by Theorem 1.12, hence $\theta_i \in \mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{O}_{X,z}, \mathbb{Z}/n)$ by purity, as desired.

Suppose D_i is horizontal for $i \in \{1,2\}$, and $z \in C_k$. Then since θ_{D_i} is z-unramified and $\kappa(D_i)$ is complete with residue field $\kappa(z)$, θ_{D_i} is defined over $\kappa(z)$ by the Witt sequence. Let $\psi_{C_k,z} = \theta_{D_i} \in \mathrm{H}^1(\kappa(z),\mathbb{Z}/n) \leq \mathrm{H}^1(K_{k,z},\mathbb{Z}/n)$, let $\psi_{C_k} \in \mathrm{H}^1(\kappa(C_k),\mathbb{Z}/n)$ be any (generalized) Grunwald-Wang lift of $\psi_{C_k,z}$, let $\psi_C \in \Gamma^1_{\mathscr{D}_*}(\kappa(C))$ be any element whose C_k-th component is ψ_{C_k}, and let $\theta_i \in \mathrm{H}^1(F,\mathbb{Z}/n)$ be any lift of $\lambda(\psi_C) \in \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{ns}}(F,\mathbb{Z}/n)$. Then θ_i ramifies on $\mathscr{D}$ by Theorem 1.12(b), and since θ_{D_i} is z-unramified, $\partial_{D_i}(\theta_i) = 0$ by Theorem 1.12(c). We compute $\partial_{D_i}((\pi_{D_i}) \cdot \theta_i) = \theta_i|_{F_{D_i}}$, and $\theta_i|_{F_{D_i}} = \theta_{D_i}$ by Theorem 1.12(c). Therefore $\partial_{D_i}((\pi_{D_i} \cdot \theta_i) = \theta_{D_i}$, and if $D \neq D_i$ is any divisor running through z then $\partial_D((\pi_{D_i}) \cdot \theta_i) = 0$. Now if both D_1 and D_2 are horizontal then we define θ_1 and θ_2 as above. If only D_2 is horizontal and $D_1 = C_1$ is vertical then we choose $\theta_1 = \lambda(\theta_{1,C})$ as in the proof of (1), where $\theta_{1,C} \in \Gamma^1_{\mathscr{D}_*}(\kappa(C))$ is any element whose C_1-th component is θ_{C_1}. In the latter case $\theta_1 \in \mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{O}_{X,z},\mathbb{Z}/n)$ since θ_{C_1} is z-unramified. In either case it follows immediately that $\alpha - (\pi_{D_1}) \cdot \theta_1 - (\pi_{D_2}) \cdot \theta_2 \in \mathrm{H}^2(\mathrm{O}_{X,z},\mu_n)$, which proves (b).

Since the θ_i in all cases are λ-lifts we have $D_{\theta_i} \subset \mathscr{D}$ by Theorem 1.12(b), and in case (b) the θ_i are unramified through every divisor passing through z, hence $\theta_i \in \mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{O}_{X,z},\mathbb{Z}/n)$ by purity and the Leray spectral sequence (see [6, Theorem 2.4]). This completes the proof.

□

Lemma 2.2. *Assume* (1.2). *Suppose $n = \ell$ is prime, $\alpha \in \mathrm{H}^2(F,\mu_\ell)$, $D_\alpha \in \operatorname{Div} X$ has normal crossings, and $z \in X$ is a hot point for α, as in* (1.7). *Then ℓ^2 divides* $\mathrm{ind}(\alpha)$.

Proof. Assume $z \in D_1 \cap D_2$, with $D_i \subset D_\alpha$. By Lemma 2.1(b) we can write

$$\alpha = \alpha^\circ + (\pi_{D_1}) \cdot \theta_1 + (\pi_{D_2}) \cdot \theta_2$$

where $\alpha^\circ \in \mathrm{H}^2(\mathrm{O}_{X,z},\mu_\ell)$, $\theta_i \in \mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{O}_{X,z},\mathbb{Z}/\ell)$ are λ-lifts, and $\pi_{D_i} \in \mathrm{O}_{X,z}$ is a local equation for D_i. Set $\theta_{D_i} = \theta_i|_{F_{D_i}}$, then $\theta_{D_i} \in \mathrm{H}^1(\kappa(D_i),\mathbb{Z}/n)$ since $\partial_{D_i}(theta_{D_i}) = 0$ by the Witt sequence. Since z is a hot point θ_{D_i} is z-unramified, and we have values $\theta_{D_i}(z)$. ℓ is prime we may assume $\theta_{D_2}(z) = 0$ and $\theta_{D_1}(z) \neq 0$.

By the Nakayama-Witt index formula we have

$$\mathrm{ind}(\alpha_{F_{D_2}}) = |\theta_{D_2}| \mathrm{ind}\left((\alpha^\circ + (\pi_{D_1}) \cdot \theta_1)_{\kappa(D_2)(\theta_{D_2})}\right)$$

Since $D_2 \subset D_\alpha$ we have $\theta_{D_2} \neq 0$, hence $|\theta_{D_2}| = \ell$, hence to show ℓ^2 divides $\mathrm{ind}(\alpha)$ it suffices to prove that $(\alpha^\circ + (\pi_{D_1}) \cdot \theta_1)_{\kappa(D_2)(\theta_{D_2})}$ is nontrivial. Since $\theta_{D_2}(z) = 0$, the valuation v_z on $\kappa(D_2)$ determined by z splits completely in $\kappa(D_2)(\theta_{D_2})$, hence $\kappa(D_2)(\theta_2)$ has residue field $\kappa(z') = \kappa(z)$ with respect to any extension $v_{z'}|v_z$. Since D_α has normal crossings at z, $v_{z'}(\bar{\pi}_{D_1}) = 1$, and we compute using (1.4)

$$\partial_{z'}((\alpha^\circ + (\pi_{D_1}) \cdot \theta_1)_{\kappa(D_2)(\theta_{D_2})}) = v_{z'}(\pi_{D_1}) \cdot \theta_{D_1}(z)_{\kappa(z')} = \theta_{D_1}(z) \neq 0$$

Thus ℓ^2 divides $\mathrm{ind}(\alpha_{F_{D_2}})$, hence ℓ^2 divides $\mathrm{ind}(\alpha)$, as desired. □

The next lemma classifies distinguished divisors through a singular point $z \in \mathcal{S}$.

Lemma 2.3. *Assume C has normal crossings at the intersection $z \in C_i \cap C_j$, and $\pi_i, \pi_j \in \mathrm{O}_{X,z}$ are local equations for C_i and C_j. Suppose a prime divisor D on X runs through z with local equation $a_i\pi_i + a_j\pi_j \in \mathrm{O}_{X,z}$. Then*

(a) *D is regular at z if and only if $(a_i, a_j)\mathrm{O}_{X,z} = \mathrm{O}_{X,z}$.*
(b) *D is horizontal if and only if $a_i \notin (\pi_j)$ and $a_j \notin (\pi_i)$.*
(c) *D intersects C_i and C_j transversely at z if and only if $a_i, a_j \in \mathrm{O}_{X,z}^*$.*

Proof. We have the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_z = (\pi_i, \pi_j)\mathrm{O}_{X,z}$ since C has normal crossings at z. Suppose given $a_{11}, a_{21} \in \mathrm{O}_{X,z}$ such that $(a_{11}, a_{21})\mathrm{O}_{X,z} = \mathrm{O}_{X,z}$. Then there exist $a_{12}, a_{22} \in \mathrm{O}_{X,z}$ such that $a_{11}a_{22} - a_{21}a_{12} = 1$, so the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

is invertible. It follows that $\mathfrak{m}_z = (\pi_i', \pi_j')\mathrm{O}_{X,z}$ for $\pi_i' = a_{11}\pi_i + a_{21}\pi_j$ and $\pi_j' = a_{12}\pi_i + a_{22}\pi_j$ by the invertibility of A, and π_i' is regular as part of a regular system of generators for $\mathfrak{m}_z$. Thus $D = \mathrm{div}(\pi_i')$ is regular at z by definition. Conversely if D is regular at z then locally $D \cap \operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{O}_{X,z} = \mathrm{div}(\pi_i')$ for a regular element $\pi_i' = a_{11}\pi_i + a_{21}\pi_j$, and if $\pi_j' = a_{12}\pi_i + a_{22}\pi_j$ completes the regular system at z then we obtain an invertible matrix A as above, and the condition $\det(A) \in \mathrm{O}_{X,z}^*$ shows $(a_{11}, a_{21})\mathrm{O}_{X,z} = \mathrm{O}_{X,z}$. This proves (a).

Since D is a prime divisor it is either horizontal or vertical, and since C has normal crossings at z, D is horizontal if and only if does not coincide with C_i or C_j at z, i.e., $a_i\pi_i + a_j\pi_j \notin (\pi_i) \cup (\pi_j)$. Equivalently $a_i\pi_i \notin (\pi_j)$ and $a_j\pi_j \notin (\pi_i)$, i.e., $a_i \notin (\pi_j)$ and $a_j \notin (\pi_i)$. This proves (b).

Finally, D is transverse to both C_i and C_j if and only if $(a_i\pi_i + a_j\pi_j, \pi_i)\mathrm{O}_{X,z} = (a_j\pi_j, \pi_i)\mathrm{O}_{X,z} = \mathfrak{m}_z$ and $(a_i\pi_i + a_j\pi_j, \pi_j)\mathrm{O}_{X,z} = (a_i\pi_i, \pi_j)\mathrm{O}_{X,z} = \mathfrak{m}_z$, which is equivalent to $a_i, a_j \in \mathrm{O}_{X,z}^*$. □

Remark 2.4. By Lemma 2.3(c) we may choose for $D_z \in \mathscr{D}_*$ the divisor associated to any $\mathrm{O}_{X,z}^*$-linear combination of π_i and π_j.

We use the next lemma to glue across cold points.

Lemma 2.5. *Assume the setup of* (1.2)*, $n = \ell$ is prime, $\alpha \in \mathrm{H}^2(F, \mu_\ell)$, D_α has normal crossings on X/R, and z is a cold point for α at the intersection of vertical components $C_1, C_2 \subset D_\alpha$. Then there exists a regular horizontal divisor D running through z and transverse to C_1 and C_2, such that $\partial_{C_1}(\alpha)$ and $-\partial_{C_2}(\alpha)$ glue at z along D as in* (1.11)*.*

Proof. Since D_α has normal crossings, C_1 and C_2 meet transversely at z, and we have maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_z = (\pi_1, \pi_2)\mathrm{O}_{X,z}$ where π_i is a local equation for C_i at z. By Lemma 2.3 the local equation $\pi_{D_z} := \pi_1 + \pi_2 \in \mathrm{O}_{X,z}$ defines a distinguished divisor $D_z \in \mathscr{D}_*$. Set $\theta_{C_i} := \partial_{C_i}(\alpha)$. The Witt decomposition of θ_{C_i} at z along D as in (1.11) is $\theta_{C_i}^\circ + (\bar{\pi}_j) \cdot \partial_z(\theta_{C_i}) \in \mathrm{H}^1(K_{i,z}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$ for some $\theta_{C_i}^\circ \in \mathrm{H}^1(\kappa(z), \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$, where $\bar{\pi}_j$ is the image of π_j in $K_{i,z}$. Set $\omega := \partial_z(\theta_{C_1})$. Then ω has order ℓ since z is a cold point and ℓ is prime, and $\partial_z(\theta_{C_2}) = -\omega$ since $\partial_z(\theta_{C_1}) + \partial_z(\theta_{C_2}) = 0$ (by (1.6)). Thus $\mu_\ell \subset \kappa(z)$, hence $\theta_{C_i}^\circ$ is a Kummer character, of the form $(\bar{a}_i) \cdot \omega$ for some $\bar{a}_i \in \kappa(z)^*$. Choose preimages $a_i \in \mathrm{O}_{X,z}^*$, and let $b_1 = a_1^{-1}$. Then the divisor $D' = \mathrm{div}(b_1\pi_1 + a_2\pi_2)$ is transverse to both C_1 and C_2 by Lemma 2.3, and the Witt

decompositions of the θ_{C_i} at z along D' are

$$\theta_{C_1,z} = \theta^\circ_{C_1} - (\bar{a}_2)\cdot\omega + (\bar{a}_2\bar{\pi}_2)\cdot\omega = (\bar{a}_1\bar{a}_2^{-1})\cdot\omega + (\bar{a}_2\bar{\pi}_2)\cdot\omega \in \mathrm{H}^1(K_{1,z},\mathbb{Z}/\ell)$$
$$\theta_{C_2,z} = \theta^\circ_{C_2} + (\bar{b}_1)\cdot\omega - (\bar{b}_1\bar{\pi}_1)\cdot\omega = (\bar{a}_2\bar{a}_1^{-1})\cdot\omega - (\bar{b}_1\bar{\pi}_1)\cdot\omega \in \mathrm{H}^1(K_{2,z},\mathbb{Z}/\ell)$$

where $\bar{\pi}_j$, $\bar{b}_1$, and $\bar{a}_j$ are the images in $K_{i,z}$. Thus θ_{C_1} and $-\theta_{C_2}$ glue at z along D' by (1.11). □

We next show how to break cycles in the dual graph G_{D_α} by blowing up. A *chordless cycle* (or *hole*) of a graph G is a sequence of vertices of G such that each pair of adjacent vertices are connected by an edge in G, and no non-adjacent vertices are connected by an edge.

Lemma 2.6. *Assume the setup of* (1.2), $n = \ell$ *is prime,* $\alpha \in \mathrm{H}^2(F,\mu_\ell)$, D_α *has normal crossings on* X/R, z *is at the intersection of vertical components* $C_1, C_2 \subset D_\alpha$, *and* z *is neither a hot point or a cold point for* α. *Then there exists a blowup* X' *of* X *centered at* z *such that* α *is unramified on some irreducible component* E *of the exceptional fiber. In particular if* $\mathrm{b}_1(D_\alpha) \geq 1$ *and* $z \in D_\alpha$ *is a closed point in* $\mathcal{S}$ *that corresponds in* G_{D_α} *to an edge of a chordless cycle, and* z *is not a hot or cold point for* α, *then there exists a blowup* X' *of* X *over which the divisor* $D'_\alpha \in \operatorname{Div} X'$ *has Betti number* $\mathrm{b}_1(D'_\alpha) < \mathrm{b}_1(D_\alpha)$.

Proof. Set $\theta_{C_i} = \partial_{C_i}(\alpha)$. Since z is not a cold point each θ_{C_i} has a value $\theta_{C_i}(z)$, and since z is not hot we have $\theta_{C_2}(z) = n_z\theta_{C_1}(z)$ for some $n_z \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell)^*$. Let E be the exceptional divisor of the blowup of X at z. By Lemma 2.1(b) we may write $\alpha = \alpha^\circ + (\pi_{C_1})\cdot\theta_1 + (\pi_{C_2})\cdot\theta_2$ with $\theta_i \in \mathrm{H}^1(\mathrm{O}_{X,z},\mathbb{Z}/\ell)$. Since $v_E(\pi_{D_i}) = 1$ for each i, we compute $\theta_E = \partial_E(\alpha) = (\theta_1+\theta_2)|_{F_E}$ using (1.4), and since ∂_E factors through $\kappa(z)$ this is $\theta_{C_1}(z) + \theta_{C_2}(z) = (n_z+1)\theta_{C_1}(z)$. Thus after finitely many blowups of X we reach an exceptional divisor E' at which $\theta_{E'} = 0$, proving the first statement.

Assume now that moreover $z \in \mathcal{S}$ corresponds in G_{D_α} to an edge of a chordless cycle. Let $X' \to X$ be the composite blowup (centered at z), and let D'_α be the divisor of α on X'. Clearly D'_α has normal crossings on X'. The effect of a blowup on a dual graph in general is to divide an edge and its two vertices into two edges and three vertices, hence blowups preserves cycles on divisors. However since $\theta_{E'} = 0$ we have removed a vertex from the blowup of G_{D_α}, thus breaking the chordless cycle to which z belonged, without creating any new cycles. Thus $\mathrm{b}_1(D'_\alpha) < \mathrm{b}_1(D_\alpha)$. □

3. Main Theorem

Assume the setup of (1.2), $n = \ell$ is prime, $\alpha \in \mathrm{H}^2(F,\mu_\ell) = {}_\ell\mathrm{Br}(F)$, and D_α has normal crossings on X/R. We may assume the horizontal components of D_α are in $\mathscr{D}_\mathcal{S}$, by blowing up X if necessary. Let $C_\alpha = D_\alpha - \mathscr{D}(D_\alpha)$, which is the "vertical" part of D_α. Then C_α has normal crossings, hence has a dual graph $\mathrm{G}(C_\alpha)$, and since all horizontal components of D_α are in $\mathscr{D}_\mathcal{S}$ it is clear that $\mathrm{b}_1(D_\alpha) = \mathrm{b}_1(C_\alpha)$. We introduce some terminology. Recall a *chordless cycle* (or *hole*) of a graph G is a sequence of vertices of G such that each pair of adjacent vertices are connected by an edge in G, and no non-adjacent vertices are connected by an edge.

- A *tree* in C_α is a connected subset whose image in $\mathrm{G}(C_\alpha)$ is a tree.

- An *isolated tree* in C_α is a maximal connected component of C_α that is a tree.
- An *isolated-tree point* is a singular point on an isolated tree.
- A *cycle* in C_α is a subset whose image in $\mathrm{G}(C_\alpha)$ is a chordless cycle.
- A *cycle point* is a singular point of C_α whose corresponding edge in $\mathrm{G}(C_\alpha)$ is part of a cycle.
- A *cycle cluster* in C_α is a set of cycles, maximal with respect to the property that one may travel from one cycle in the cluster to another on the components of cycles.
- A *connecting path* in C_α is a maximal connected set of irreducible components that are not components of cycles, and the set intersects more than one cycle cluster.
- A *connecting point* of C_α is a singular point of a connecting path.
- A *tail* in C_α is a maximal connected set of irreducible components that are not components of cycles, and the set intersects exactly one cycle cluster at a single point.
- A *tail point* of C_α is a singular point of a tail.

With this terminology, C_α is a union of isolated trees, cycle clusters, connecting paths, and tails, and the singular points of C_α are either isolated-tree points, cycle points, connecting points, or tail points.

Theorem 3.1. *Assume* (1.2)*, $\alpha \in \mathrm{Br}(F)$ has prime period $\ell \neq p$. Then there exists a $\mathbb{Z}/\ell$-cyclic extension L/F such that α_L is unramified.*

Proof. Let X be a regular model for F as in (1.2). We will use the notation $\theta_D := \partial_D(\alpha) \in \mathrm{H}^1(\kappa(D), \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$ for $D \in \mathrm{Div}\, X$, and if $D = C_i$ for some irreducible component C_i of C we denote by $\theta_{C_i,z}$ the image of θ_{C_i} in $\mathrm{H}^1(K_{i,z}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$, where $K_{i,z} = \mathrm{Frac}\,(\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{h}}_{C_i,z})$ is as in (1.2).

By blowing up X if necessary we may assume that $D_\alpha \cup C$ has normal crossings, each singular point of $\mathrm{Supp}(D_\alpha)$ lies on a vertical component of $\mathrm{Supp}(D_\alpha)$, all horizontal components of D_α are in $\mathscr{D}_{\mathcal{S}}$, and the dual graph G_C is bipartite, so that C is union of two disjoint sets of irreducible components C^+ and C^-. We call any such model X *α-acceptable*. Since the blowup at a closed point preserves normal crossings of divisors, an even number of blowups of an α-acceptable model is again α-acceptable.

For every $\alpha \in \mathrm{H}^2(F, \mu_\ell)$ there exists an α-acceptable model X/R over which $\mathrm{b}_1(D_\alpha)$ is minimal, among α-acceptable models. To prove the theorem we will induct on the minimum value assumed by $\mathrm{b}_1(D_\alpha)$ on any α-acceptable model X/R. Recall $\mathrm{b}_1(D_\alpha)$ is the number of chordless cycles in G_{D_α}, which is the same as the number of loops in D_α. Since a blowup cannot join two disconnected components it does not affect $\mathrm{b}_1(D_\alpha)$. We are therefore free to blow up a model X over which G_C is defined and $\mathrm{b}_1(D_\alpha)$ is minimal until we obtain a model that is additionally α-acceptable.

3.2. *Inductive procedure for trees.* Suppose $\alpha \in \mathrm{H}^2(F, \mu_\ell)$ and there exists an α-acceptable model X such that $\mathrm{b}_1(D_\alpha) = 0$, i.e., D_α is a tree. Let C_α be the vertical components of D_α as above, then $\mathrm{b}_1(C_\alpha) = 0$. If $C_\alpha \neq \varnothing$ then sequence the components of a connected component of C_α by $C_1, \ldots, C_r$, so that $C_d \cap (\bigcup_{i<d} C_i) \neq$

$\varnothing$. Fix such a connected component, and define ψ_{C_1} to be any prime-to-ℓ multiple of θ_{C_1}, and if $r \neq 1$ then inductively define ψ_{C_d} for $d : 1 < d \leq r$ as follows.

(I) If $i < d$, $z \in C_i \cap C_d$, and $\theta_{C_i,z}$ is z-ramified then let $\psi_{C_d} = \theta_{C_d}$ if $C_d \subset C^+$ and $\psi_{C_d} = -\theta_{C_d}$ if $C_d \subset C^-$. Then $\partial_z(\psi_{C_i}) = \partial_z(\psi_{C_d})$.
(II) If $i < d$, $z \in C_i \cap C_d$, and $\theta_{C_i,z}$ is z-unramified, let $\psi_{C_d} = n_z\theta_{C_d}$ where n_z is a prime-to-ℓ number such that $\theta_{C_i}(z) = n_z\theta_{C_d}(z)$. The number n_z exists since D_α has no hot points.

Assign ψ_{C_i} in this way for each connected component of C_α. Suppose C_α has s irreducible components, and let $C_{s+1}, \ldots, C_m$ denote some ordering of the remaining irreducible components of C. Note if $i \leq s < d$, and $z \in C_d \cap C_i$ then ψ_{C_i} is z-unramified since $\theta_{C_d} = 0$ and $\partial_z(\theta_{C_i} + \theta_{C_d}) = 0$ (by (1.6)). Now inductively define (local) data $\psi_{C_d,z} \in \mathrm{H}^1(K_{d,z}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$ for $d > s$ and various $z \in C_d$ as follows.

(A) If $d > i$ and $z = C_d \cap C_i$ set $\psi_{C_d,z} = \psi_{C_i,z} = \psi_{C_i}(z) \in \mathrm{H}^1(\kappa(z), \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$.
(B) If $d < i$ and $z = C_d \cap C_i$ set $\psi_{C_d,z} = 0$.
(C) If $z \in C_d \cap \mathscr{D}(D_\alpha)$ let $\psi_{C_d,z} = \theta_D \in \mathrm{H}^1(\kappa(z), \mathbb{Z}/\ell) \leq \mathrm{H}^1(K_{d,z}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$, where $D \in \mathscr{D}(D_\alpha)$ passes through z.

Note in (C) that indeed $\theta_D \in \mathrm{H}^1(D, \mathbb{Z}/\ell) \simeq \mathrm{H}^1(\kappa(z), \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$, and that $D \in \mathscr{D}_\mathcal{S}$ since we have assumed $\mathscr{D}(D_\alpha) = \mathscr{D}_\mathcal{S}(D_\alpha)$. Now let $\psi_{C_d} \in \mathrm{H}^1(\kappa(C_d), \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$ be any element with images the $\psi_{C_d,z}$ from (A, B, C). Such an element exists by Saltman's generalized Grunwald-Wang Theorem [15, Theorem 5.10], since here there is no special case and there are finitely many singular points z on $C \cup D_\alpha$.

Finally, if C_i and C_j are in C_α and intersect at $z \in \mathcal{S}$ and θ_{C_i} (hence θ_{C_j}) is z-ramified, then by Lemma 2.5 and (I) there exists a choice $D = D_z \in \mathscr{D}_*$ such that ψ_{C_i} and ψ_{C_j} glue at z along D. Then by (II) the ψ_{C_k} glue along all singular points of C_α, and by (A,B) the ψ_{C_k} glue along all other points of $\mathcal{S}$. By (1.11) there exists an element $\psi_C \in \Gamma^1_{\mathscr{D}_*}(\kappa(C)) \leq \mathrm{H}^1(\kappa(C), \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$, hence a λ-lift $\psi \in \mathrm{H}^1(F, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$ of ψ_C by Theorem 1.12.

3.3. *Splitting ramification for trees.* Let $L = F(\psi)$ and let Y be the normalization of X in L. We claim that α_L is unramified. By (1.8) it is enough to show that for each $D \subset D_\alpha$, and $E \in \operatorname{Div} Y$ lying over D, $e \cdot \mathrm{res}_{\kappa(D)|\kappa(E)}(\theta_D) = 0$, where $e = |\partial_D(\psi)|$ and $\kappa(E) = \kappa(D)((e \cdot \psi)(D))$. Suppose then that $D \subset D_\alpha$, and $E \in \operatorname{Div} Y$ lies over D. Note that $D \in C \cup \mathscr{D}_\mathcal{S}$. Since ψ is a λ-lift we may use Theorem 1.12 to analyze its ramification behavior.

(a) If $D = C_i \subset C$ then $\partial_D(\psi) = \partial_{C_i}(\psi) = 0$ by Theorem 1.12(b), and we have $\langle \psi_{C_i} \rangle = \langle \theta_{C_i} \rangle$ by (I) and (II). Therefore $e = |\partial_{C_i}(\psi)| = 1$ and $\kappa(E) = \kappa(C_i)(\psi(C_i))$, hence $\kappa(E) = \kappa(C_i)(\psi_{C_i}) = \kappa(C_i)(\theta_{C_i})$ by Theorem 1.12(a), hence $\mathrm{res}_{\kappa(C_i)|\kappa(E)}(\theta_{C_i}) = 0$, hence $\partial_E(\alpha_L) = 0$.
(b) If $D \in \mathscr{D}_\mathcal{S}$ and $\partial_D(\psi) \neq 0$ then $e = |\partial_D(\psi)| = \ell$ since ℓ is prime, and since $\mathrm{res}_{\kappa(D)|\kappa(E)}(\theta_D)$ has order dividing ℓ, $\partial_E(\alpha_L) = 0$.
(c) If $D \in \mathscr{D}_\mathcal{S}$, $\partial_D(\psi) = 0$, $D \cap C = z$ is on C_i, and $\theta_{C_i} = 0$, then $e = 1$ so $\kappa(E) = \kappa(D)(\psi(D))$, and this is $\kappa(D)(\psi_{C_i}(z))$ by Theorem 1.12(d), where we identify $\psi_{C_i}(z) \in \mathrm{H}^1(\kappa(z), \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$ with its image in $\mathrm{H}^1(\kappa(D), \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$. Since $\partial_D(\psi) = 0$ we have $\partial_z(\psi_{C_i}) = 0$ by Theorem 1.12(c), hence $\psi_{C_i}(z) = \psi_{C_i,z}$,

and we have $\psi_{C_i,z} = \theta_D \in \mathrm{H}^1(\kappa(z), \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$ by (C), since $\theta_{C_i} = 0$. Therefore $\kappa(E) = \kappa(D)(\theta_D)$, and $\partial_E(\alpha_L) = 0$.

(d) If $D \in \mathscr{D}_{\mathcal{S}}$, $\partial_D(\psi) = 0$, $D \cap C = z$ is on C_i, and $\theta_{C_i} \neq 0$, then $e = 1$ so $\kappa(E) = \kappa(D)(\psi(D))$, and $\psi(D) = \psi_{C_i}(z)$ by Theorem 1.12(d) (where again we identify $\psi_{C_i}(z) \in \mathrm{H}^1(\kappa(z), \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$ with its image in $\mathrm{H}^1(\kappa(D), \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$).

Since $\theta_{C_i} \neq 0$ we have $\langle \psi_{C_i} \rangle = \langle \theta_{C_i} \rangle$ by (I) and (II), and since $\partial_D(\psi) = 0$ we have $\partial_z(\psi_{C_i}) = 0$ by Theorem 1.12(c), hence $\partial_z(\theta_{C_i}) = 0$. Thus both θ_D and θ_{C_i} are nonzero and z-unramified. Since D_α has no hot points, $\langle \theta_{C_i}(z) \rangle = \langle \theta_D(z) \rangle$, and $\theta_D(z) = \theta_D$ since $\kappa(D)$ is already complete. Therefore $\langle \psi_{C_i}(z) \rangle = \langle \theta_D \rangle \leq \mathrm{H}^1(\kappa(z), \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$. We conclude $\kappa(E) = \kappa(D)(\theta_D)$, hence $\mathrm{res}_{\kappa(D)|\kappa(E)}(\theta_D) = 0$, and once more $\partial_E(\alpha_L) = 0$.

This completes the proof that when $\mathrm{b}_1(D_\alpha) = 0$ for an α-acceptable model X, there exists a $\mathbb{Z}/\ell$-cyclic extension L/F such that α_L is unramified.

3.4. *Case* $\mathrm{b}_1(D_\alpha) > 0$. Assume we have shown that for any $\alpha' \in \mathrm{H}^2(F, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$ for which there is an α'-acceptable model X' such that $\mathrm{b}_1(D_{\alpha'}) \leq N$, there exists a cyclic extension L'/F such that $\alpha'_{L'}$ is unramified. Fix $\alpha \in \mathrm{H}^2(F, \mu_\ell)$, and suppose that the minimum value of $\mathrm{b}_1(D_\alpha)$ over all α-acceptable models is $\mathrm{b}_1(D_\alpha) = N + 1$. We must show there exists a $\mathbb{Z}/\ell$-cyclic extension L/F such that α_L is unramified.

Let X be an α-acceptable model over which $\mathrm{b}_1(D_\alpha)$ is minimal. (Note as before we have $\mathrm{b}_1(D_\alpha) = \mathrm{b}_1(C_\alpha)$ since $\mathscr{D}(D_\alpha) \subset \mathscr{D}_{\mathcal{S}}$.) Then every cycle point for D_α is cold by the second statement of Lemma 2.6, since otherwise by blowing up we could reduce $\mathrm{b}_1(D_\alpha)$ on another α-acceptable model. Similarly we may assume that every connecting point is cold, since otherwise we may blow up until the connecting path becomes two tails by the first statement of Lemma 2.6. By Lemma 2.5 we may choose $D_z \in \mathscr{D}_*$ for every cold cycle point or connecting point $z \in \mathcal{S}$ on C_α so that if $z \in C_i \cap C_j$, then θ_{C_i} and $-\theta_{C_j}$ glue at z along D_z as in (1.11). Since C_α is bipartite we may set $\psi_{C_i} = \pm\theta_{C_i}$ accordingly, as in (I). Thus the ψ_{C_i} for the irreducible components $C_i \subset C_\alpha$ of cycle clusters or connecting paths glue at their singular points along $\mathscr{D}_*$. The remaining components of C_α are isolated trees or tails, and for them we may define the ψ_{C_i} inductively as we did for trees in (3.2)(I,II). Thus we obtain elements ψ_{C_i} for every $C_i \subset C_\alpha$, that glue over the singular points of C_α along $\mathscr{D}_*$. Finally, we extend to the remaining components of C by defining the ψ_{C_i} as in (3.2)(A,B,C), so that the ψ_{C_i} are compatible with the θ_D for isolated components $D \subset D_\alpha$, and all components glue across singular points with those ψ_{C_j} already defined.

Since the ψ_i glue along all $z \in \mathcal{S}$ along $\mathscr{D}_*$ we have an element $\psi_C \in \Gamma^1_{\mathscr{D}_*}(\kappa(C))$, and we let $\psi \in \mathrm{H}^1(F, \mathbb{Z}/\ell)$ be a λ-lift of ψ_C, and set $L = F(\psi)$. We claim that α_L is unramified. As in the case (3.3) for trees, by (1.8) it is enough to show that for each $D \subset D_\alpha$ and $E \subset Y$ lying over D, $e \cdot \mathrm{res}_{\kappa(D)|\kappa(E)}(\theta_D) = 0$, where $e = |\partial_D(\psi)|$ and $\kappa(E) = \kappa(D)((e \cdot \psi)(D))$. As before we have $D \subset C \cup \mathscr{D}_{\mathcal{S}}$.

(i) If $D = C_i$ and C_i is part of a tail or an isolated tree, or if $D \in \mathscr{D}_{\mathcal{S}}$ and D intersects C at such a component, then the computation $\partial_E(\alpha_L) = 0$ follows from (3.3)(a,b,c,d).

(ii) If $D = C_i$ is part of a cycle cluster or connecting path then we have $\partial_E(\alpha_L) = 0$ by (3.3)(a).

(iii) If $D \in \mathscr{D}_{\mathcal{S}}$ and $\partial_D(\psi) \neq 0$ the $\partial_E(\alpha_L) = 0$ by (3.3)(b).

(iv) If $D \in \mathscr{D}_{\mathcal{S}}$, $\partial_D(\psi) = 0$, and $D \cap C = z$ lies on a component C_i at which $\theta_{C_i} = 0$, then ψ_{C_i} is defined as in (3.2)(C), and $\partial_E(\alpha_L)$ follows from (3.3)(c).
(v) If $D \in \mathscr{D}_{\mathcal{S}}$, $\partial_D(\psi) = 0$, and $D \cap C = z$ lies on a component C_i of a cycle cluster or connecting path, then $\theta_{C_i} \neq 0$, and $\psi_{C_i} = \pm\theta_{C_i}$. Therefore we are in the same situation as (3.3)(d), and we conclude that $\partial_E(\alpha_L) = 0$.

We conclude that α_L is unramified. The result now follows by induction.

□

Remark 3.5. This result compares with [16, Theorem 7.13], which applies to a general regular surface but only when F contains a primitive ℓ-th root of unity.

We now reprove [14, Theorem 5.1, Corollary 5.2]

Corollary 3.6. (Cyclicity in Prime Degree) *If F is the function field of a smooth p-adic curve and A is an F-division algebra of index $\ell \neq p$, then A is cyclic.*

Proof. This is immediate since the L of Theorem 3.1 has trivial unramified Brauer group (see e.g. [3, Theorem 4.5]), hence L splits the class $[A] \in \mathrm{H}^2(F, \mu_\ell)$. □

Corollary 3.7. (Hot Point Criterion) *If F is the function field of a smooth p-adic curve, $\alpha \in \mathrm{Br}(F)$ has prime period $\ell \neq p$, and D_α has normal crossings, then $\mathrm{ind}(\alpha) = \ell$ if and only if α has no hot points.*

Proof. If α has a hot point then $\mathrm{ind}(\alpha) \neq \ell$ by Lemma 2.2. Conversely if α has no hot points then $\mathrm{ind}(\alpha) = \ell$ by Corollary 3.6.

□

Remark 3.8. In the situation of Corollary 3.7 we have $\mathrm{ind}(\alpha) | \ell^2$ by [12] (see also [4, Corollary 5.2]), hence $\mathrm{ind}(\alpha) = \ell^2$ if and only if α has a hot point.

References

[1] A. Auel, E. Brussel, S. Garibaldi, and U. Vishne. Open problems on central simple algebras. *Transform. Groups*, 16(1):219–264, March 2011.
[2] M. Auslander and O. Goldman. The Brauer group of a commutative ring. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 97:367–409, 1960.
[3] E. Brussel. On Saltman's p-adic curves papers. In *Quadratic forms, linear algebraic groups, and cohomology*, volume 18 of *Dev. Math.*, pages 13–39. Springer, New York, 2010.
[4] E. Brussel, K. McKinnie, and E. Tengan. Cyclic length in the tame Brauer group of the function field of a p-adic curve. *http://front.math.ucdavis.edu/1307.3345*, 2013.
[5] E. Brussel and E. Tengan. Formal constructions in the Brauer group of the function field of a p-adic curve. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear)*.
[6] E. Brussel and E. Tengan. Bloch-Ogus sequence in degree two. *Comm. Alg.*, 38:1–13, 2010.
[7] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène. Birational invariants, purity and the Gersten conjecture. In *K-theory and algebraic geometry: connections with quadratic forms and division algebras (Santa Barbara, CA, 1992)*, volume 58 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 1–64. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995.
[8] S. Garibaldi, A. Merkurjev, and J.-P. Serre. *Cohomological invariants in Galois cohomology*, volume 28 of *University Lecture Series*. Amer. Math. Soc., 2003.
[9] K. Kato. A Hasse principle for two-dimensional global fields. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 366:142–183, 1986. With an appendix by Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène.
[10] Q. Liu. *Algebraic Geometry and Arithmetic Curves*, volume 6 of *Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002. Translated from the French by Reinie Erné, Oxford Science Publications.

[11] S. Saito. Class field theory for curves over local fields. *J. Number Theory*, 21(1):44–80, 1985.
[12] D. Saltman. Division algebras over p-adic curves. *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.*, 12:25–47, 1997. see also the erratum [13] and survey [3].
[13] D. Saltman. Correction to division algebras over p-adic curves. *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.*, 13:125–129, 1998.
[14] D. Saltman. Cyclic algebras over p-adic curves. *J. Algebra*, 314:817–843, 2007.
[15] D. J. Saltman. Generic Galois extensions and problems in field theory. *Adv. in Math.*, 43(3):250–283, 1982.
[16] D. J. Saltman. Division algebras over surfaces. *J. Algebra*, 320(4):1543–1585, 2008.

Department of Mathematics, California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo, CA 93407, USA

E-mail address: ebrussel@calpoly.edu